Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **000**, 1–6 (2014) Printed 9 September 2014 (MN LATEX style file v2.2)

The orbital period of Swift J1816.7-1613 revealed by the Swift-BAT telescope.

V. La Parola[1], A. Segreto [1] , G. Cusumano[1], N. Masetti [2], A. D'Aì, A. Melandri[3]
[1] *INAF - Istituto di Astrofisica Spaziale e Fisica Cosmica, Via U. La Malfa 153, I-90146 Palermo, Italy*
[2] *INAF - Istituto di Astrofisica Spaziale e Fisica Cosmica di Bologna, via Gobetti 101, 40129, Bologna, Italy*
[3] *INAF - Brera Astronomical Observatory, via Bianchi 46, 23807, Merate (LC), Italy*

arXiv:1409.1985v1 [astro-ph.HE] 6 Sep 2014

ABSTRACT
We have analyzed the Swift data relevant to the high mass X-ray binary Swift J1816.7-1613. The timing analysis of the BAT survey data unveiled a modulation at a period of $P_0 = 118.5 \pm 0.8$ days that we interpret as the orbital period of the X-ray binary system. The modulation is due to a sequence of bright flares, lasting ~ 30 d, separated by long quiescence intervals. This behavior is suggestive of a Be binary system, where periodic or quasi-periodic outbursts are the consequence of an enhancement of the accretion flow from the companion star at the periastron passage. The position of Swift J1816.7-1613 on the Corbet diagram strengthens this hypothesis. The broad band 0.2–150 keV spectrum is well modeled with a strongly absorbed power-law with a flat photon index $\Gamma \sim 0.2$ and a cut-off at ~ 10 keV.

Key words: X-rays: binaries – X-rays: individual: Swift J1816.7-1613.
Facility: *Swift*

1 INTRODUCTION

A significant fraction of cosmic X-ray sources are transient systems, with long periods of quiescence interrupted by brief intervals of intense emission. Large field of view X-ray detectors play a fundamental role to detect and study these systems. Within this class of telescopes, the Burst Alert Telescope (BAT, Barthelmy et al. 2005) on board the Swift satellite (Gehrels et al. 2004) is proving to be a valuable instrument in fulfilling this aim. Since November 2004 BAT has been performing a continuous monitoring of the hard X-ray sky and, while it is hunting for gamma-ray bursts, it records the flux variability of known X-ray sources and discovers many new X-ray transients (Krimm et al. 2013).

In this Letter we analyze the soft and hard X-ray data collected by Swift on Swift J1816.7-1613, a Galactic X-ray transient unveiled by BAT (Krimm et al. 2008). The source was first detected at $\sim$ 24 mCrab in the 15-50 keV band on 2008 March 24 and its intensity rose to $\sim$ 35 mCrab on March 29. The source was also revealed by BAT during two other less-intense outbursts, one from 2009 July 21 to 2009 August 10 and the other one from 2011 June 18 to 2011 July 8. During both episodes the outburst peaked at $\sim$ 30 mCrab in the 15–50 keV band, (Krimm et al. 2013). From the examination of the long term BAT light curve, and following a more recent outburst in June 2014, Corbet & Krimm (2014) suggest an orbital periodicity of $\sim$ 151 d and argue that the sporadic appearance of the outbursts along the light curve is consistent with the source being a Be/neutron star binary system. The X-ray transient was detected serendipitously in a Chandra ACIS observation performed on 2007 February 11 (Halpern & Gotthelf 2008). The position of the X-ray source measured by Chandra is RA=18h 16m 42.66s, Dec=-16° 13' 23.4" (J2000). The energy spectrum was modeled by a power law of photon index $\Gamma = 1.2$, a column density $N_H = 12 \times 10^{22} cm^{-2}$ and a 2–10 keV flux of 4×10^{-12}erg cm^{-2}s^{-1}. A timing analysis of the Chandra event arrival times has also revealed that the source is a high mass X-ray pulsar with a spin period of $\sim$ 143 s (Halpern & Gotthelf 2008). The analysis of two follow-up observations performed with the RXTE PCA on 2008 March 29 and April 7 confirmed the pulse period and revealed weak evidence for a spin-up between the two RXTE observations with a $\dot{P} = -5.93 \times 10^{-7}$s s^{-1} (Krimm et al. 2013). Archival data of XMM-Newton and BeppoSAX-PDS also showed the presence of the source at a flux of 7×10^{-13}erg cm^{-2}s^{-1} in 2–10 keV on 2003 March 8 (Halpern & Gotthelf 2008) and at a flux of 3.6×10^{-11}erg cm^{-2}s^{-1} in 15–30 keV on 1998 September 29 (Orlandini & Frontera 2008), respectively. The companion star has not been identified yet; archival searches in the Chandra region of the X-ray source were unable to reveal any optical/NIR counterpart (Krimm et al. 2013).

This Letter is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the Swift data reduction. Section 3 reports on the timing analysis. Section 4 describes the broad band spectral analysis. In Section 5 we briefly discuss our results.



Figure 1. BAT light curve in the 15-45 keV band. The bin length corresponds to a time interval of 15 days. The vertical shaded areas are separated by 118.5 days and are in phase with the peak position of the brightest outburst at MJD 54556.1.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

The Swift-BAT survey raw data collected between December 2004 and June 2014 were processed with dedicated software (Segreto et al. 2010) that computes all-sky maps in several energy bands between 15 and 150 keV, performs source detection on these maps and for each detected source produces standard products such as light curves and spectra. Swift J1816.7-1613 was inside the BAT field of view for a total of 38.1 Ms. The source was detected in the 15–150 keV all-sky map with a signal to noise ratio of 10.1 standard deviations and of 12.8 standard deviations in the 15–45 keV all-sky map, where its signal-to-noise is maximized. The latter energy band was used to extract the light curve with the maximum time resolution ($\sim$ 300 s) allowed by the Swift-BAT survey data. The spectrum is extracted in 8 energy channels and analyzed using the official BAT spectral redistribution matrix [1].

Swift-XRT (Burrows et al. 2004) observed Swift J1816.7-1613 seven times. The source was observed in Photon Counting (PC) mode (Hill et al. 2004) in all the observations, for a total exposure time of $\sim$ 10.7 ks. The details on the Swift-XRT observations are reported in Table 1. The XRT data were processed with standard procedures (XRTPIPELINE v.0.12.8) using the FTOOLS in the HEASOFT package (v 6.15) and the products were extracted adopting a grade filtering of 0–12. The source events were extracted from a circular region of 20 pixel radius (1 pixel = 2.36") centered on the Chandra source position, while the background for spectral analysis was extracted from an annular region centered on the source, with an inner radius of 70 pixels and an outer radius of 130 pixels, to avoid the contamination due to the PSF tail of Swift J1816.7-1613. All source event arrival times were converted to the Solar System barycentre with the task BARYCORR[2]. XRT ancillary response files for each observation were generated with XRTMKARF[3]. Besides spectra for each single XRT observation, we also extracted a cumulative source and background spectrum from observations 1 to 3, excluding observations 4 to 7 because of their low statistical content. The ancillary files relevant to each XRT observation were combined into a single one using ADDARF that weights them by the exposure times of the relevant source spectra. Finally, all the spectra were re-binned with a minimum of 20 counts per energy channel, in order to allow the use of the χ^2 statistics. We used the spectral redistribution matrix v014 and the spectral analysis was performed using XSPEC v.12.5. Errors are at 90 % confidence level for a single parameter, if not stated otherwise.

3 TIMING ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the light curve of Swift J1816.7-1613 in the 15-45 keV band, between November 2004 and June 2014 (with a bin of length 15 days), where several outburst episodes of similar duration ($\sim$ 30 days) and intensity are visible. This behaviour is suggestive of a Be/X-ray binary system (as already suggested by Corbet & Krimm 2014) where the appearance of type I outbursts is related to the periastron passage of the compact object. The similarity of all the observed flares both in duration and intensity suggests that none of them is a Type II outburst uncorrelated with the orbital modulation. The outbursts peak times are MJD 53745 $\pm$ 30, 54088 $\pm$ 9, 54556.1 $\pm$ 1.0, 55041.1 $\pm$ 1.0, 55742.6 $\pm$ 1.5, 56224.5 $\pm$ 1.0, 56816.4 $\pm$ 1.0 , where the peak time and its error are evaluated fitting a Gaussian to the relevant portion of the light curve (produced with a bin time of 5 days). The larger uncertainty in the first and second outbursts are due to the fact that they are detected with lower significance, because they are covered only partially by the survey data. According to the sequence of outbursts observed during our monitoring, the periodicity, if any, is

[1] http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/heasarc/caldb/data/swift/bat/index.html
[2] http://http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/ftools/caldb/help/barycorr.html [3] http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/ftools/caldb/help/xrtmkarf.html

Table 1. XRT observations log. The quoted orbital phase refers to the profile reported in the lower panel of Figure 3b.

Obs #	Obs ID	T_{start} MJD	$T_{elapsed}$ (s)	Exposure (s)	Rate (c/s)	Orb. Phase	Spin Period (s)
1	00031187001	54557.783	1981.6	1945.4	0.32 ± 0.01	0.44	143.3 ± 1.3
2	00031188001	54560.210	12490.3	1927.9	0.42 ± 0.02	0.46	142.9 ± 2.5
3	00031188002	54562.547	18571.9	1932.9	0.28 ± 0.01	0.48	143.4 ± 5.6
4	00031188003	54574.800	12270.3	1212.9	0.064 ± 0.08	0.58	—
5	00031188004	54576.413	12170.6	1251.1	0.068 ± 0.008	0.60	—
6	00031188005	54578.218	12115.8	1935.4	0.034 ± 0.005	0.61	—
7	00044103001	56207.983	489.7	486.8	0.07 ± 0.01	0.37	—



Figure 2. Periodogram of *Swift*-BAT (15–45 keV) data for Swift J1816.7-1613. The arrow marks the period suggested by Corbet & Krimm (2014).

constrained to be a submultiple of the distance between any pair of consecutive outbursts. This is evident when we observe that the time interval between the second pair of outbursts ($\sim$ 470 d) is not a multiple of the time interval between the first two outburst ($\sim$ 340 d, the shortest interval in the sequence).

In order to verify if the appearance of these outbursts has a periodic behaviour we have performed a timing analysis on this light curve using the folding technique (Leahy et al. 1983). This technique consists in the production of a count rate profile for a set of trial periods by folding the count rates in N phase bins and in the evaluation of the χ^2 value with respect to the average count rate for the profile corresponding to each trial period. A large value of χ^2 represents a clue of a periodic modulation. The periodogram has been produced in the 1–1000 d period range with a step of $P^2/(N\,\Delta T_{BAT})$ (P is the trial period, $N = 16$ is the number of phase bins used to build the profile and $\Delta T_{BAT} \sim 298.2$ Ms is the data time span). This periodogram (Figure 2) is quite noisy for test periods higher than $\sim$ 100 d. A few peaks emerge over the noise, however none of them has enough power to be claimed as a strong indication of periodicity, nor is consistent either with 151.4 d (Corbet & Krimm 2014) or with being a submultiple of both 340 d and 470 d. We have then repeated the folding analysis after selecting from the light curve only 200-day data intervals centered on the observed outbursts: this selection allows us to exclude light curve intervals that would introduce only noise in the following timing analysis, and still explore the possible range of outburst periodicities.

Figure 3 (top panel) shows the periodogram obtained from the selected data, where a prominent feature emerges at $\sim$ 118 d. Its peak can be fitted with a Gaussian function (Fig 3 - inset in top panel), obtaining a peak centroid at $P_0 = 118.5 \pm 0.8$ d, where the error is the standard deviation of the best-fitting Gaussian. This value is consistent with being a submultiple of both the two shortest time intervals between the outbursts ($\sim$ 340 d and $\sim$ 470 d).

The bottom panel of Figure 3 shows the pulse profile obtained by folding the data in 30 phase bins with a periodicity of P_0 and T_{epoch} = MJD 55216.6. The profile shows a narrow peak emerging by at least two orders of magnitude over a flat plateau whose intensity is marginally above zero. The peak is at phase 0.48 ± 0.5 and corresponds to MJD $(55273.7 \pm 2) \pm n \times P_0$, where the uncertainty is half phase bin.

Table 1 reports the average count rate recorded during the XRT observations and the relevant orbital phase evaluated with respect to P_0 and T_{epoch}. Observations 1-3 performed by XRT on Swift J1816.7-1613 fall in correspondence of the flare peaking at MJD 54556 in Fig. 1, Obs. 4-6 corresponds to the end tail of the same flare, while Obs. 7 is just before the flare peaking at MJD 56224.

We performed a timing analysis on the XRT data searching for the presence of the periodic modulation detected by Chandra (Halpern & Gotthelf 2008) and confirmed by RXTE (Krimm et al. 2013). XRT observations are fragmented into snapshots of different duration and time separation. This causes spurious features to emerge when the timing analysis is performed on data belonging to more than one snapshot, and affects the detection of a source periodic signal. To avoid these systematics we have performed a folding analysis separately on each snapshot searching in a period range 100–200 s. The periodic modulation has been revealed in the periodograms derived from the snapshots of Obs 1, 2 and 3 (Table 1); the statistics content in the other XRT observations is too poor to allow for a periodic modulation to emerge over the noise. In each snapshot periodogram a period was associated to the centroid of the Gaussian that best fit the revealed feature while the error is evaluated applying equation 6.a in Leahy (1987). The period relevant to each observation was then evaluated by weighting the periods relevant to each snapshot with the inverse square of their errors. Table 1 lists the resulting periods. The period averaged among Obs 1, 2 and 3 is 143.2 ± 1.1 s.



Figure 3. Top panel: Periodogram obtained selecting only 200-day time intervals centered on the observed outbursts; the period suggested by Corbet & Krimm (2014) is marked with an arrow. The inset shows a close-up view of the periodogram around $P_0 = 118.5$ d and the best-fitting Gaussian function to the peak. **Bottom panel**: Swift BAT light curve folded with a period of P_0 in 30 phase bin selecting only 200-day time intervals centered on the observed flares.



Figure 4. Swift J1816.7-1613 broad band spectrum collected during the outbursts. **Top panel**: XRT and BAT unfolded spectrum and best fit `phabs*(cutoffpl)` model. **Bottom panel**: Residuals in unit of standard deviations for the `phabs*(cutoffpl)` model.

Parameter	Best fit value	Units
N_H	$10.2^{+1.8}_{-1.6} \times 10^{22}$	cm^{-2}
Γ	$0.1^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$	
E_{cut}	$9.2^{+1.7}_{-1.3}$	keV
N	$2.9^{+2.4}_{-1.3} \times 10^{-3}$	ph $keV^{-1}cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ at 1 keV
C_{BAT}	$0.9^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	
F (0.2–10 keV)	1.0×10^{-10}	erg s^{-1} cm^{-2}
F (15–150 keV)	1.3×10^{-10}	erg s^{-1} cm^{-2}
χ^2	92 (82 d.o.f.)	

Table 2. Best fit spectral parameters. C_{BAT} is the constant factor to be multiplied to the model in order to match the XRT and BAT data. We report unabsorbed fluxes for the standard XRT (0.2–10 keV) and BAT (15–150 keV) energy bands.

4 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

As shown in Fig. 1, BAT observed several outburst episodes along its monitoring of Swift J1816.7-1613. Selecting only the data in these intervals (30-day time intervals centered on the outbursts peak times), the source is detected at a significance level of about 30 standard deviations while outside these outbursts Swift J1816.7-1613 shows a weak emission below the detection threshold (S/N $\sim$ 4).

We have performed a broad band spectral analysis relevant to the periods of outburst emission. First, we verified that no significant spectral variability is present among XRT obs. 1-3 and among the outbursts observed by BAT; fitting each XRT spectrum with an absorbed power-law we found that both the best fit absorption columns and photon indeces were consistent within the statistical errors. The BAT spectra relevant to each flare episode, fitted with a simple power-law, also showed no significant spectral variation. We therefore summed the XRT data of observation 1-3 and the BAT data collected during all the outburst episodes and combined the resulting XRT and BAT spectra to perform a broad band spectral analysis. Trial models were multiplied by a constant to account for any inter-calibration uncertainty between the two telescopes and for different flux levels among the two spectra. This constant was frozen to unity for the XRT spectrum and was left free to vary for the BAT spectrum.

An absorbed power-law model (`cons*phabs*powerlaw`) was rejected because of its unacceptable χ^2 of 221 over 83 degrees of freedom (dof). The fit is significantly improved by including a cutoff in the above model (`cons*phabs*cutoffpl`), with a resulting χ^2 of 92 over 82 dof, and an F-test probability of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-4}$ of obtaining this improvement by chance.

Figure 4 shows the data and best fit model and the residuals, while table 2 reports the best-fitting parameters.

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The light curve of Swift J1816.7-1613 is characterized by a sequence of very bright outbursts lasting $\sim$ 30 d, and long



Figure 5. Residual phase of the source outbursts with respect to the periodicity of 118.5 d (upper panel), and to the periodicity suggested in Corbet & Krimm (2014) (lower panel).



Figure 6. Corbet diagram for HMXBs with known spin and orbital period. Diamond and star points represent the Be and supergiant systems, respectively. The red filled circle marks the position of Swift J1816.7-1613.

quiescent time intervals. During the 113 months of BAT survey monitoring we observe seven outbursts, that we find to have a periodicity $P_0 = 118.5 \pm 0.8$ d, that we interpret as the orbital periodicity of the source. Our result is at odds with the periodicity of $\sim$ 151 d obtained by Corbet & Krimm (2014). To compare the two results we have analysed the phase ϕ of each of the observed outbursts with respect to $P_0 = 118.5 \pm 0.8$ d and to $P = 151.4 \pm 1$ d, and plotted the residual phase $\phi - < \phi >$, where $< \phi >$ is the average phase value for the set of observed outburst with respect to each of the two periods (Figure 5). The latter values are expected to be all consistent with 0 if the test periodicity describes well the outburst sequence. If we apply a χ^2 test to the residual phases, assuming an expected value of 0, we find a reduced χ^2 of 0.5 for P=118.5 days and 3.1 for P=151.4 d, respectively. The higher χ^2 obtained for P=151.4 d is due mainly to the outburst at MJD 55041 that is not consistent with this periodicity.

The BAT light curve folded at P_0 is characterized by a narrow peak emerging over a flat plateau, where the off-peak emission is at least two order of magnitude lower than the peak intensity. The peak has a duration of $\sim$ 30% of P_0, corrsponding to $\sim$ 30 days. Thus the emission of this source is concentrated in a short fraction of its orbit. Moreover, the outbursts do not occur at every cycle: in the sequence observed during our monitoring they are separated by 3 to 6 cycles. This behaviour is commonly observed in X-ray binaries with a Be star as a companion: these stars are likely characterized by an equatorial extended disc, fed from material ejected from the star itself because of its rapid spin rotation (see e.g. Reig 2011 for a recent review). In such binary systems, the stellar disk is often oriented on a plane different from the orbital plane of the neutron star. Periodic or quasi-periodic outbursts, lasting a fraction of 10-30% of the orbital period, peaking close to the periastron passage of the neutron star are the consequence of an enhancement of the accretion flow from the companion star as it passes close to the circumstellar disk. The position of Swift J1816.7-1613 on the Corbet diagram (Corbet 1986) in Figure 6 shows that the source lies in the Be transients region, adding a further strong hint on its nature as a Be/X-ray binary system.

A timing analysis on the XRT data confirms the presence of the periodic modulation first revealed by Chandra (Halpern & Gotthelf 2008) and confirmed by RXTE follow-up observations (Krimm et al. 2013). The spin periodicity, detected in the XRT Obs 1, 2 and 3 is 143.2 ± 1.1 s.

Finally, the broad band 0.2–150 keV spectrum collected during the outbursts is modeled with an absorbed power-law with a flat photon index $\Gamma \sim 0.1$ and a steepening in the BAT energy range modeled with a cut-off at an energy of $\sim$ 10 keV, and an average flux of $(1.30 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-10}$ erg cm^{-2}s^{-1}. The spectrum is strongly absorbed, with column density one order of magnitude higher than the average value along the line of sight. Assuming this same spectral shape, the off-outburst average flux is $(2.9 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm^{-2}s^{-1}.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been supported by ASI grant I/011/07/0.

REFERENCES

Barthelmy, S. D., et al. 2005, Space Science Reviews, 120, 143
Burrows, D. N., Hill, J. E., Nousek, J. A., et al. 2004, SPIE, 5165, 201
Corbet, R. H. D., 1986, MNRAS, 220, 1047
Corbet, R. H. D., & Krimm, H. A., 2014, ATEL 6253
Dickey, J. M., & Lockman, F. J. 1990, ARAA, 28, 215
Gehrels, N., et al. 2004, ApJ, 611, 1005
Halpern, J. P., & Gotthelf, E. V. 2008, The Astronomer's Telegram, 1457, 1
Hill, J. E., Burrows, D. N., Nousek, J. A., et al. 2004, SPIE, 5165, 217
Kennea J. A., Burrows D. N., Nousek J. A., Chester M., Roming P., Barthelmy S., Gehrels N., Beckmann V., Soldi S., 2005, ATEL 459
Krimm, H. A., Barthelmy, S. D., Baumgartner, W., et al. 2008, The Astronomer's Telegram, 1456, 1
Krimm, H. A., Holland, S. T., Corbet, R. H. D., et al. 2013, ApJS, 209, 14

Leahy, D. A., 1987, A&A, 180, 275
Leahy, D. A., Elsner, R. F., & Weisskopf, M. C. 1983, ApJ, 272, 256
Orlandini, M., & Frontera, F. 2008, The Astronomer's Telegram, 1462, 1
Reig, P. 2011, Ap&SS, 332, 1
Segreto, A., Cusumano, G., Ferrigno, C., La Parola, V., Mangano, V., Mineo, T., & Romano, P. 2010, A&A, 510, A47